

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,408	09/25/2003	Xiaolan Ai	TIMK 8497US	5738	
1688	1688 7590 08/15/2006			EXAMINER	
POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI 12412 POWERSCOURT DRIVE SUITE 200 ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3615			LE, DAVID D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3681		

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/670,408	AI, XIAOLAN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David D. Le	3681				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	ine 2006.					
		action is non-final.					
3) 🔲	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-11 and 13-21</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖾 (5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10,11 and 19</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ (6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9,13,15,16,18,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🛛 (7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌 (8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ Т	he drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
ı	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,		·				
Attachment	s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the fifth Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/670,408, filed on 25 September 2003. Claims 1-6, 8-11 and 13-21 are pending.

Documents

- 2. The following documents have been received and filed as part of the patent application:
 - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 12/29/03
 - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 03/19/04

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8-9, 13, 15, 16, 18 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 3,945,270 to Nelson et al. in view of U. S. Patent No. 4,116,293 to Jukui.

Claims 8-9, 13,15, 16, 18 and 20-21:

Nelson (i.e., Figs. 1-6; column 5, line 19 – column 11, line 52) discloses a friction drive transmission comprising:

• A plurality of planetary rollers (9, 10, 11) positioned between and in frictional contact with an outer ring member (8) and a sun roller member (6) of a planetary

Application/Control Number: 10/670,408

Page 3

traction drive such as to communicate rotational motion between the outer ring member and the sun roller member;

• Wherein each of the planetary rollers is mounted on a correspondingly non-rotatable shaft (i.e., Fig. 3, element 34, 35 or 36) through a bearing (i.e., Fig. 2,

element 33);

• A plurality of springs (i.e., Fig. 3, elements 45); and

• Wherein each of the springs (45) deflects the correspondingly non-rotatable shaft

(34, 35 or 36).

Nelson lacks:

• Wherein each of the planetary rollers includes a means for flexibly mounting the

planetary roller onto a fixed support shaft such that the means biases a center of

the planetary roller towards a center of the support shaft, thereby pushing and

pulling the planetary roller into and out of a convergent wedge so that the traction

drive can operates under any small wedge angle at or close to a maximum

available friction coefficient;

Wherein the fixed support shaft includes an elastic insert;

• Wherein the fixed support shaft is located within the elastic insert;

• Wherein the elastic insert is located within the bearing;

• Wherein the flexible mounting includes a predetermined travel range that limits

an operating friction coefficient at or close to the maximum available friction

coefficient; and

Application/Control Number: 10/670,408 Page 4

Art Unit: 3681

• Wherein the means for flexibly mounting biases the center of the roller to the center of the fixed support shaft with tangential friction force F at contact points between the roller and the two raceways balanced by normal contact forces N at

the contact points and a supporting force F_0 at the supporting shaft.

Fukui (i.e., Fig. 5; column 4, line 43 – column 5, line 6), on the other hand,

teaches a drive mechanism comprising:

• A sun gear (i.e., Fig. 5, element 13);

• A plastic insert (i.e., Fig. 5, element 34);

• A support shaft (i.e., Fig. 5, element 4); and

• Wherein the sun gear (13) is flexibly mounted on the support shaft (4) via the

plastic member (34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to modify Nelson such that the spring (45) is replaced by an elastic insert, the non-rotatable shafts (34, 35, 36) are fixedly supported, each of the non-rotatable shafts is located within each of the elastic inserts, and each of the elastic inserts being located within each of the roller bearings, in view of Fukui, in order to improve the torque transferring capability of the friction drive transmission.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-6, 10, 11 and 19 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3681

6. Claims 14 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, filed on 07 June 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 8, 9, 13, 15, 16, 18, 20 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U. S. Patent No. 4,116,293 to Fukui, as set forth in paragraph 4 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7092. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (0700-1530).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David D. Le Examiner

Art Unit 3681 08/10/06

ddl